

Research Article

Effects of Different Oligosaccharides on Growth of Selected Probiotic Bacterial Strains

Salavati Schmitz S1* and Allenspach K2

¹Hospital for Small Animals, The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies and The Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, UK ²College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA

Abstract

Objective: To assess if prebiotics at different concentrations can accelerate the growth of selected probiotic bacterial strains.

Materials and methods: *Enterococcus (E.) faecium* NCIMB 10415 E1707 was chosen as it is the most common probiotic strain used for small animals. In addition, *E. faecium* NCIMB 30183, *Bifidobacterium (B.) longum* NCIMB 30182 and *B. infantis* NCIMB 30181 were tested. They were grown in 96-well plates and growth was assessed by optic density at 600 nm, using a bacterial plate reader. The prebiotics used were Fructo-Oligosaccharides (FOS), mannan oligosaccharides (MOS) and Preplex® (a combination of FOS and gum Arabic available in a commercial synbiotic product for small animals). Initially, addition of inulin was also planned but not achieved due to technical difficulties. The prebiotics were used at 20 mg/ml, 10 mg/ml, 1 mg/ml and 0.1 mg/ml, respectively. Growth rates were calculated, technical and biological repeats averaged and compared between prebiotic treatments for each strain using ANOVA.

Results: Growth of *E. faecium* NCIMB 10415 E1707 was not improved by any additive. *E. faecium* NCIMB 30183 grew significantly faster with the highest concentration of Preplex[®]. Both *Bifidobacterium* strains showed significant acceleration of growth with Preplex[®] and FOS, but only *B. infantis* showed a dose-effect.

Conclusion and clinical significance: Prebiotic additives have to be chosen depending on the probiotic strain. The *E. faecium* strain most commonly used in small animals was not influenced by any of the prebiotics used, even though commercially available as a synbiotic. The growth of Bifidobacteria was accelerated with commonly used prebiotic oligosaccharides. Interestingly, the addition of gum Arabic seemed to have a stronger effect on growth acceleration than FOS alone. The information gained might have implications for the design and production of preand probiotic formulations for small animals in the future.

Keywords: Bifidobacterium; Enterococcus; Gastroenteritis; Growth rate; Synbiotics

Introduction

A wide variety of veterinary probiotic products is available over the counter. They are popular for the treatment of several conditions in small animals, mostly related to the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, where there is some evidence that they can alleviate symptoms of acute gastroenteritis [1,2]. There have also been several attempts to assess potential health benefits of probiotics in chronic GI conditions in small animals [3-6]. Even though a probiotic is defined as "a live organism which, if administered in adequate quantities, confers a health benefit to the host" proof of efficacy in specific conditions is often lacking, as most of these products are sold as health/ food supplements, not as drugs [7]. More comprehensive reviews on their clinical efficacy in small animals can be found elsewhere [8]. The term prebiotic is typically used to describe "non-digestible food ingredients that stimulate the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon, and thus improve host health" hence they are often dietary fibres [9]. A number of studies have shown that selective fermentation of indigestible fibres induces a variety of microbiological and metabolic changes in intestinal microbiota, which might benefit the host [10]. So far, several dietary fibres and oligosaccharides have been discussed as (candidate) prebiotics [11].

The most widely studied probiotic for small animals is *Enterococcus* (*E.*) *faecium* however, other lactic acid producing bacteria, e.g. Bifidobacteria are also frequently used in probiotic preparations for humans and tested for companion animal consumption [2-5,12-14]. The aim of this study was to test the growth properties of 2 different

strains of *E. faecium* and Bifidobacteria, respectively, with the addition of different prebiotics *in vitro* to potentially inform future decisions about combining pre- and probiotics.

Materials and Methods

Probiotic microorganisms

Two different *E. faecium* strains from 3 different sources were tested. Oralin^{*} powder for animals (Chevita GmbH, Pfaffenhofen, Germany) and Synbiotic D-C^{*} (Protexin, Probiotics Ltd., Somerset, UK) both contain *E. faecium* NCIMB 10415 E1707. In addition, another strain of *E. faecium* NCIMB 30183 as well as *Bifidobacterium longum* NCIMB 30182 and *Bifidobacterium infantis* NCIMB 30181 were acquired from the National Collection of Industrial Food and Marine Bacteria (NCIMB Ltd., Bucksburn, Aberdeen, UK) in a freeze-dried form. Starting cultures were prepared from both products containing the *E. faecium* E1707 in

*Corresponding author: Salavati Schmitz S, 1Hospital for Small Animals, The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies and The Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, UK, Tel: 01316507650, E-mail: Silke.Salavati@ed.ac.uk

Received December 07, 2016; Accepted March 09, 2017; Published March 16, 2017

Citation: Salavati Schmitz S, Allenspach K (2017) Effects of Different Oligosaccharides on Growth of Selected Probiotic Bacterial Strains. J Microb Biochem Technol 9:572-576. doi: 10.4172/1948-5948.1000343

Copyright: © 2017 Salavati Schmitz S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

J Microb Biochem Technol, an open access journal ISSN: 1948-5948

5 ml of nutrient broth no. 1 (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) at standard incubation conditions (orbital shaker at 37°C and 225-250 rpm). The freeze-dried cultures were revived as by NCIMB's instructions: Briefly, the glass vials were carefully opened using a diamond cutter, 0.5 ml of nutrient broth were added and the cultures incubated at 37°C and 250 rpm overnight. They were sub-cultured with a larger amount of nutrient broth for another 4 h.

All strains were then plated onto nutrient agar plates (Sigma-Aldrich), incubated at 37°C overnight and stored at 4°C until further use. In addition, glycerol stocks were prepared from each strain and stored at -80°C. Fresh secondary cultures in nutrient broth were obtained from the nutrient agar plates for each growth assay (Table 1).

Prebiotic additives

The prebiotics used at the respective concentrations can be found in Table 1. All of them were provided by Probiotics Ltd. (Somerset, UK). The concentrations of prebiotic additives were chosen empirically, as there is no data available on their optimal concentration to stimulate probiotic growth *in vitro* or *in vivo*. This is why a wide range of concentration was tested. The highest concentration (20 mg/ml) could not be used for one of the prebiotics (mannan oligosaccharides [MOS]), as it would leave the culture too cloudy to perform growth measurements based on optic density (OD, see below). In addition, an attempt was made to test inulin as a prebiotic additive at all concentrations. However, it was not soluble in the nutrient broth used; hence results of these experiments were considered unreliable and are thus not reported.

Bacterial growth curves and determination of growth rates

Growth curve analysis was based on OD measured at 600 nm. Bacteria was grown in 200 μ l per well of a flat-bottomed 96-well plate and OD was measured with the Spectramax^{*} 340PC 384 plate reader (Molecular devices, Wokingham, UK) every 5 min for 16 h. Each concentration for each bacterial strain was run in at least 4 technical and 3 biological replicates. OD data of technical replicates were averaged and plotted against time. The slope of the exponential section of the bacterial growth curve was determined by linear curve fitting. Slopes of the three biological replicates across all prebiotic additives were analysed using ANOVA (Graph Pad Prism 5, La Jolla, California, USA). Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results and Discussion

Growth characteristics of probiotic strains without any additives were similar (Figure 1). Specifically, there was no difference between the *E. faecium* NCIMB 10415 from two different sources (data not shown), hence only the one from the Synbiotic D-C' product was used in further experiments. Exponential growth commenced between 60

| Prebiotic | Concentration tested |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Preplex (FOS+gum Arabic) | 20 mg/ml |
| | 10 mg/ml |
| | 1 mg/ml |
| | 100 µg/ml |
| FOS | 20 mg/ml |
| | 10 mg/ml |
| | 1 mg/ml |
| | 100 µg/ml |
| MOS | 1 mg/ml |
| | 100 µg/ ml |

FOS: Fructo-Oligosaccharides; MOS=Mannose Oligosaccharides **Table 1:** Prebiotics used in the present study. and 90 min. After 200-245 min, growth plateau was reached (Figure 2). The chosen prebiotic additives influenced growth of the examined bacteria in a strain-specific and dose-depending manner. For *E. faecium* NCIMB 10415, none of the additives had a significant effect on growth rates (Figure 2A). For *E. faecium* NCIMB 31083, only the highest concentration of Preplex^{*} accelerated growth significantly compared to baseline growth (p<0.001 (Figure 2B). Both Bifidobacterium strains tested showed acceleration of growth with Preplex^{*} and FOS. *B. longum* showed a dose effect for these two prebiotics, with a significant effect of the highest concentrations: growth was accelerated with 10 mg/ml (p<0.05) and 20 mg/ml (p<0.001) of Preplex^{*} added, as well as with 10 and 20 mg/ml of FOS (both p<0.001) (Figure 2C). Growth of *B. infantis* was enhanced by nearly all concentrations of FOS used (0.1 mg/ml p<0.01; 10 mg/ml p<0.05; 20 mg/ml p<0.01), and also with the lowest concentrations of Preplex^{*} (0.1 mg/ml and 1 mg/ml p<0.05) (Figure 2D).

The present study suggests a strain-specific beneficial effect of the tested prebiotics. Interestingly, Preplex' seemed to give most consistent results. As it is a combination of FOS and gum Arabic; and improved growth was seen with Preplex', but not with FOS alone, it is tempting to speculate that the prebiotic effect is due to the gum Arabic. This component has been shown to have some prebiotic effects in human *in vivo* studies but is largely untested in small animal veterinary medicine. Experimental studies revealed that it can modulate intestinal absorption counteract the effects of secretory toxins and potentially modulate, intestinal inflammation via down regulation of NG reduction in the intestinal epithelium [15-21]. Further studies into this soluble fibre in the context of probiotic growth and intestinal health in animals might be warranted.

The most widely available probiotic strain for small animals (E. faecium 10415) did not show significant growth acceleration with any of the prebiotics, which simply confirms that prebiotic additives have to be chosen carefully and specifically if the desired effect is to enhance growth of a specific probiotic strain. As far as the authors are aware, there is no published data available on how to enhance probiotic E. faecium growth neither in vitro nor in vivo. Hence comparison of the present findings with other studies is not possible. Further studies investigating the interactions of E. faecium with prebiotics and other substances should be encouraged, as this might help to understand its role as a probiotic in veterinary medicine. So far, clinical trials with E. faecium as a probiotic with our without prebiotics have not been encouraging which might partially be due to the fact that likely high numbers of this strain are needed in vivo to elicit an effect (personal observations of the authors), but also potentially because a way to enhance E.faecium growth in vivo and in vitro have not yet been identified in these scenarios [3-5]. In only one study that was assessing the effect of another probiotic formulation; an increase in faecal enterococci was observed in dogs [22].

Overall, the present results show that the administration of prebiotics in certain concentrations might encourage the growth of certain bacterial strains (especially bifidobacteria), which can either be administered simultaneously as synbiotics, or which are already present in the GI tract as part of the endogenous microflora [8]. Bifidobacteria are part of the canine GI microbiota and certain strains might be classified as probiotics [8,13,23]. Bifidobacterium animals AHC7 has been shown to protect against pathogen-induced NF κ B activation *in vivo* and was able to reduce both the need to administer antimicrobials and the overall duration of illness in acute idiopathic diarrhoea in dogs [24,25]. Based on this information and the results presented here, bifidobacteria might be interesting probiotic candidates

Citation: Salavati Schmitz S, Allenspach K (2017) Effects of Different Oligosaccharides on Growth of Selected Probiotic Bacterial Strains. J Microb Biochem Technol 9:572-576. doi: 10.4172/1948-5948.1000343





in small animals, especially as their growth seems to be easily enhanced with common prebiotics like FOS and gum Arabic.

Direct translation of the findings of the current study into an in vivo situation or extrapolation of prebiotic concentrations to animal feed might be challenging. Even though there is ample evidence that the addition of fibre to diets changes food fermentability increases the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) and other bacterial metabolites in the colon and changes the intestinal microbiota composition in dogs the type of prebiotic or fibre studied varies greatly. Additional inconsistencies in study design, concentrations of additives and outcome measures/ techniques used make comparisons between studies difficult. Especially FOS has not been tested extensively as prebiotics in small animals, but some preliminary data are available [26-31]. In one study, addition of 1-3 g of FOS to animal feed (total dose) did not have an effect of SCFA and other metabolite production [32]. In another, short-chain FOS produced the largest decrease in faecal pH and a significant increase of acetate and propionate in faecal inoculum from dogs, which was associated with a significant increase of bifidobacteria [29]. This potentially ties in with the observations made in the present study, making the effects of FOS on bifidobacteria in the canine intestinal microbiota an interesting topic of further research.

It has to be taken into account, however, that *in vitro* bacterial growth experiments with a single strain and very high concentrations of prebiotics cannot be directly correlated with the *in vivo* situation, where a plethora of different bacterial (and other microbial) species compete for nutrients and ecological niches. Other factors (acid stability, capability to adhere to the intestinal mucus layer) might also influence the survival and expansion of externally administered probiotic strains in the gut. Thus, the results of this study have to be interpreted carefully, and only *in vivo* experiments in the target species (feeding experiments that assess changes in the microbiota composition with modern high-throughput sequencing methods) will ultimately determine if a beneficial effect can be translated into a clinical situation.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Dr. Shan Goh from the Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences at the Royal Veterinary College, London, UK, for her help with setting up the bacterial cultures. They would also like to thank Probiotics Ltd. for providing the prebiotics used in this study.

Conflict of Interest

This work was part of a PhD project carried out at the Royal Veterinary College, London, UK, which was supported by a stipend from the BBSRC in collaboration with Probiotics International Ltd., Somerset, UK. The sponsors have seen and approved the manuscript, but had no input or influence on the design of neither the study, nor the interpretation or discussion of results.

References

- Herstad HK, Nesheim BB, L'Abee-Lund T, Larsen S, Skancke E (2010) Effects of a probiotic intervention in acute canine gastroenteritis--a controlled clinical trial. J Small Anim Pract 51: 34-38.
- Bybee SN, Scorza AV, Lappin MR (2011) Effect of the probiotic Enterococcus faecium SF68 on presence of diarrhea in cats and dogs housed in an animal shelter. J Vet Intern Med 25: 856-860.
- Schmitz S, Henrich M, Neiger R, Werling D, Allenspach K (2014) Stimulation of duodenal biopsies and whole blood from dogs with food-responsive chronic enteropathy and healthy dogs with toll-like receptor ligands and probiotic *Enterococcus faecium*. Scand J Immunol 80: 85-94.
- Schmitz S, Glanemann B, Garden OA, Brooks H, Chang YM, et al. (2015) A prospective, randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled pilot study on the effect of *Enterococcus faecium* on clinical activity and intestinal gene expression in canine food-responsive chronic enteropathy. J Vet Intern Med 29: 533-543.

- Schmitz S, Werling D, Allenspach K (2015) Effects of *ex vivo* and *in vivo* treatment with probiotics on the inflammasome in dogs with chronic enteropathy. PLoS One 10: e0120779.
- Gomez-Gallego C, Junnila J, Mannikko S, Hameenoja P, Valtonen E, et al. (2016) A canine-specific probiotic product in treating acute or intermittent diarrhea in dogs: A double-blind placebo-controlled efficacy study. Vet Microbiol 25: 122-128.
- Schrezenmeir J, de Vrese M (2001) Probiotics, prebiotics and synbioticsapproaching a definition. Am J Clin Nutr 73: 361S-364S.
- Schmitz S, Suchodolski J (2016) Understanding the canine intestinal microbiota and its modification by pro-, pre- and synbiotics - what is the evidence? Vet Med Sci 2:71-94.
- Gibson GR, Roberfroid MB (1995) Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota – introducing the concept of prebiotics. J Nutr 125: 1401-1412.
- De Preter V, Hamer HM, Windey K, Verbeke K (2011) The impact of pre- and/ or pro-biotics on human colonic metabolism: Does it affect human health? Mol Nutr Food Res 55: 46-57.
- Verspreet J, Damen B, Broekaert WF, Verbeke K (2016) A critical look at prebiotics within the dietary fiber concept. Annu Rev Food Sci Technol 7:167-190.
- Simpson KW, Rishniw M, Bellosa M, Liotta J, Lucio A (2009) Influence of *Enterococcus faecium* SF68 probiotic on giardiasis in dogs. J Vet Intern Med 23: 476-481.
- O'Mahony D, Murphy KB, MacSharry J, Boileau T, Sunvold G, et al. (2009) Portrait of a canine probiotic Bifidobacterium -- from gut to gut. Vet Microbiol 139: 106-112.
- Ogue-Bon E, Khoo C, Hoyles L, McCartney AL, Gibson GR, et al. (2011) In vitro fermentation of rice bran combined with Lactobacillus acidophilus 14 150B or Bifidobacterium longum 05 by the canine faecal microbiota. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 75: 365-376.
- Calame W, Weseler AR, Viebke C, Flynn C, Siemensma AD (2008) Gum arabic establishes prebiotic functionality in healthy human volunteers in a dosedependent manner. Br J Nutr 100: 1269-1275.
- Codipilly CN, Teichberg S, Wapnir RA (2006) Enhancement of absorption by gum Arabic in a model of gastrointestinal dysfunction. J Am Coll Nutr 25: 307-312.
- Codipilly CN, Wapnir RA (2004) Proabsorptive action of gum Arabic in isotonic solutions orally administered to rats. II. Effects on solutes under normal and secretory conditions. Dig Dis Sci 49: 1473-1478.
- Turvill JL, Wapnir RA, Wingertzahn MA, Teichberg S, Farthing MJ (2000) Cholera toxin-induced secretion in rats is reduced by a soluble fiber, gum Arabic. Dig Dis Sci 45: 946-951.
- Wapnir RA, Sherry B, Codipilly CN, Goodwin LO, Vancurova I (2008) Modulation of rat intestinal nuclear factor NF-kappa B by gum Arabic. Dig Dis Sci 53: 80-87.
- Rehman KU, Codipilly CN, Wapnir RA (2004) Modulation of small intestinal nitric oxide synthase by gum arabic. Exp Biol Med 229: 895-901.
- Rehman K, Wingertzahn MA, Harper RG, Wapnir RA (2001) Proabsorptive action of gum Arabic: Regulation of nitric oxide metabolism in the basolateral potassium channel of the small intestine. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 32: 529-533.
- 22. Garcia-Mazcorro JF, Lanerie DJ, Dowd SE, Paddock CG, Grutzner N, et al. (2011) Effect of a multi-species synbiotic formulation on fecal bacterial microbiota of healthy cats and dogs as evaluated by pyrosequencing. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 78: 542-544.
- Strompfova V, Laukova A (2014) Isolation and characterization of faecal bifidobacteria and lactobacilli isolated from dogs and primates. Anaerobe 29: 108-112.
- 24. O'Mahony D, Murphy S, Boileau T, Park J, O'Brian F, et al. (2010) Bifidobacterium animalis AHC7 protects against pathogen-induced NF-κB activation in vivo. BMC Immunol 22.
- 25. Kelley RL, Minikhiem D, Kiely B, O'Mahony L, O'Sullivan D, et al. (2009) Clinical benefits of probiotic canine-derived Bifidobacterium animals strain AHC7 in dogs with acute idiopathic diarrhea. Vet Ther 10:121-130.
- Bosch G, Pellikaan WF, Rutten PG, van der Poel AF, Verstegen MW, et al. (2008) Comparative *in vitro* fermentation acivity in the canine distal gastrointestinal tract and fermentation kinetics of fiber sources. J Anim Sci 86: 2979-2989.

- Koh A, De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Backhed F (2016) From dietary fiber to host physiology: Short-chain fatty acids as key bacterial metabolites. Cell 165: 1332-1345.
- Beloshapka AN, Wolff AK, Swanson KS (2012) Effects of feeding polydextrose on faecal characteristics, microbiota and fermentative end products in healthy adult dogs. Br J Nutr 108: 638-644.
- 29. de Godoy MRC, Mitsuhashi Y, Bauer LL, Fahey GC Jr, Buff PR, et al. (2015) *In vitro* fermentation characteristics of novel fibers, coconut endosperm fiber and chicory pulp, using canine fecal inoculum. J Anim Sci 93: 370-376.
- Kerr KR, Forster G, Dowd SE, Ryan EP, Swanson KS (2013) Effects of dietary cooked navy bean on the fecal microbiome of healthy companion dogs. PLoS One 8: e74998.
- Panasevich MR, Kerr KR, Dilger RN, Fahey GC Jr, Guerin-Deremaux L, et al. (2015) Modulation of the faecal microbiome of healthy adult dogs by inclusion of potato fibre in the diet. Br J Nutr 113:125-133.
- 32. Flickinger EA, Schreijen EMWC, Patil AR, Hussein HS, Grieshop CM, et al. (2003) Nutrient digestibilities, microbial populations, and protein catabolites as affected by fructan supplementation of dog diets. J Anim Sci 81: 2.

OMICS International: Open Access Publication Benefits & Features

Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ editorial team
- Rapid peer review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled for better prominence and citations
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Best discounts for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: http://www.editorialmanager.com/jmbt

Citation: Salavati Schmitz S, Allenspach K (2017) Effects of Different Oligosaccharides on Growth of Selected Probiotic Bacterial Strains. J Microb Biochem Technol 9:572-576. doi: 10.4172/1948-5948.1000343